Alston & Bird

CHARLOTTE NC 28234

AUG 0 7 1998



UNITED STALES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
08/911,926	08/15/97	FENDERSON		J	8867-8C	
			/ -		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN & BO	DEIMER JR	HM42/0804		CLARI	1V. S	
THE BELL SELTZER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY				ART		
ALSTON & BIF						
DOOT OFFICE		0.0				

1616 DATE MAILED:

08/04/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 15, 1997

Application No. 08/911,926 Applicant(s)

Examiner S. Mark Clardy Fenderson et al

Group Art Unit 1616

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.B. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	☐ This action is FINAL.	
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims \[\text{Claim(s)} \frac{17.26}{\text{claim(s)}} \qquad is/are pending in the application. \] Of the above, claim(s) \qquad is/are withdrawn from consideration. \qquad is/are withdrawn from consideration. \qquad \qquad (claim(s) \qquad is/are epicted. \qquad \qquad is/are epicted to. \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qqquad \qqqquad \qqqqq \qqqqq \qqqqqqq \qqqqqq \qqqqqqq		
is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s)	is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time	within the period for response will cause the
Of the above, claim(s)	Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. dis/are objected to. claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	X Claim(s) 17-26	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) 17-26 is/are rejected. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claims		is/are rejected.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	Claims are su	ubject to restriction or election requirement.
The drawing(s) filed on	Application Papers	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, F	PTO-948.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). X II	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by th	e Examiner.
□ The eath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 08/236,732 □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a). Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 0 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
received. ⊠ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		
⊠ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		y documents have been
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		09/226 722
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)		
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)3	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e).
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)3	Attachment(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		-
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	□ Notice of informal Patent Application, P10-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		
C. Dataset and Tradamark Office		VING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/911,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 17-26 are pending in this application which is a divisional of SN 08,467,367, now US Patent 5,721,191, which is a continuation-in-part of SN 08/153,946, abandoned, which is a continuation of SN 08/019,386, filed February 18, 1993, abandoned. Priority to the following

applications has also been claimed: 08/152,066, 08/019,933, 08/236,732.1

Applicants' claims are drawn to a synergistic herbicidal composition comprising dimethenamid and a triketone or dione herbicide (claim 25) and herbicidal methods of use (claims 17-24); a triazine herbicide may also be included (claims 20, 26). The tri-/di-ketone herbicides may be sulcotrione (i.e., 2-(2-chloro-4-methanesulfonylbenzoyl)-1,3-cyclohexanedione), or the various 2-nitrobenzoyl bicyclooctane- or bicyclooxazine- diones discussed on page 4 of the specification.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

which the invention was made.

Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Seckinger et al (US 4,666,502), Stauffer (EP 0 230 596), Knudsen (US 4,869,748), and Sandoz (PCT WO 92/07837).

¹The following related applications are being examined simultaneously:

 08/911,645
 08/911,715
 08/911725
 08/911,911
 08/911,926
 08/912,087

 08/912,124
 08/912,134
 08/912,444
 08/912,449
 08/914,349
 08/914,799

Serial Number: 08/911,926 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Seckinger et al teach the herbicidal utility of dimethenamid (compound 55, col 15-16), and disclose the combination with additional biologically active agents including herbicides (col 8, lines 62-66).

Stauffer teaches sulcotrione in combination with additional herbicidal agents such as atrazine.

Knudsen and Sandoz teach applicants' herbicidal nitrobenzoyl bicyclooctanediones and oxazinediones, respectively.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references because they disclose known herbicides and because it is conventional in the art to combine herbicidal agents in a single composition.

It is noted that applicants herbicidal components are known, conventional herbicidal agents. Thus it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined dimethenamid and the other herbicidal agents claimed herein because it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition that is to be used for the very same purpose; the idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069. Absent evidence presenting unobvious results for the combinations claimed herein, applicants are seen to have done nothing more than combine known herbicidal agents in a conventional herbicidal composition.

In example 4, applicants present data for the combination of dimethenamid (D), sulcotrione (S), and atrazine (A), comparing: A, D+A, and S+A, with D+S+A. However, in order to determine Serial Number: 08/911,926 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

any synergistic effect, the first three compositions will need to be compared with D+S, S, and D, respectively, but that data has not been presented.

No unobvious or unexpected results are noted; no claim is allowed.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103 and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is (703) 308-4550.

S. Mark Clardy Primary Examiner AU 1616

August 3, 1998

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. Department of Commerc Patent and Trademark Office			ce	Attorney Docket Number: 8867-8C			Serial No. Applied For	
LIST	OF DO	CUMENTS CITE	BY APPLIC	CANT Applicant: Fenderson et al.				
(Use several sheets if necessary) Filing Date: Concurrently						ly	Group 1209	
					H	Ierewith		1616
		14	U. S.	PATENT DO	CUMENTS			
Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	1	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
SIN	1	5,089,046	02/18/92	Lee et al.		71	108	
m	2	5,006,150	04/09/91	Lee et al.		71	88	
m	3	4,921,526	05/01/90	Lee et al.		71	86	Ì
an	4	4,869,748	09/16/89	Knudsen		71	123	
mu	5	4,789,393	12/06/88	Hanagan		71	92	
MC	6	4,695,673	09/22/87	Heather et al.		568	310	
Serc	7	4,666,502	05/19/87	Seckinger et al.		71	90	
m	8	3,013,054	12/12/61	Richter		260	473	
			FOREIC	ON PATENT	DOCUMENTS			
		Document Number	Date	C	ountry	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No
au	9	EP 137,963	04/24/85	EPO				
an	10	EP 186,118	07/02/86	EPO				
ne	11	EP 186,119	07/02/86	EPO		/		
Sin	12	EP 186,120	07/02/86	EPO				
an	13	EP 230 596	08/05/87	EPO		1		
Suc	14	EP 249,150	12/16/87	EPO			1 /	
Tue	15	EP 315,889	05/17/89	EPO				
Snu	16	EP 336,898	10/11/89	EPO		11		
Slu	17	EP 338,992	10/25/89	ЕРО				
Sur	18	EP 380 447	01/08/90	EPO				
Suc	19	EP 394,889	10/31/90	EPO		17		
Suc	20	EP 461,079	12/11/91	EPO		11	1/	1
smi	21	EP 549,524	06/30/93	EPO		1/	1/	
MI	22	WO 92/07837	05/14/92	PCT		1/	V	
M	23	WO 91/10653	07/25/91	PCT				
	L_/	h	<u></u>					

	.4 PTO-1449 U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office			Attorney Docket Number: 8867-8N	Serial No. Applied For			
1	LIST	OF DO	CUMENTS CITED BY APPLICANT	Applicant: Fenderson et al.				
		(Uso	e several sheets if necessary)	Filing Date: Concurrently Herewith	Group 1209 1616			
	OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)							
	Con	Weed Control And Soil Persistence Studies With Dimethenamid In Maize, A. Rahman and T.K. James; Proc. 45th N.Z. Plant Protection Conf. 1992: 84-88						
	M	25	Herbicidal Composition, Kimura et al.; United States Statutory Invention Registration, Reg. No. H670, 9/5/89					
	ale	26	SAN 582 H - A New Herbicide For Weed Control In Corn And Soybeans, J. Harr, K. Seckinger, E. Ummel, Brighton Crop Protection Conference - Weeds, 1991, pp. 87-92					
1	al	27	Weed Control in No-tillage and Conventional Corn (Zea mays) with ICLA-0051 and SC-0774, John S. Wilson and Chester L. Foy; Weed Technology, 1990, Vol. 4:731-738					

285689